

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS WWW.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/606,884	06/29/2000	Xiangxin Bi	2950.32US03	6843
75	90 04/21/2003			
Peter S. Dardi Patterson, Thuente, Skaar & Christensen, P. A. 4800 IDS Center			EXAMINER	
			CHANEY, CAROL DIANE	
80 South 8th Street				<u> </u>
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	ìН
·		DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	A, icai	nt(s)
		09/606,884	. BI ET AL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Carol Chaney	1745	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the correspond	dence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, hower	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be consi	idered timety. ate of this communication. & 133\
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 I	February 2003 .		
2a)⊠		is action is non-fir	al.	
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	ance except for foi	mal matters, prosecution	as to the merits is 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1.4-11.13-28 and 30-52 is/are pendir	ng in the application	n.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	tion.	
	Claim(s) 1,4-11,13,14,20-28 and 30-37 is/are a			
i	Claim(s) <u>15-19,38-42 and 47-52</u> is/are rejected			
l	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
l	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	nent	
	on Papers			
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) 🔲 🖯	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			1.85(a).
11) 🗌 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) 🔲 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 I	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been receiv	ed.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	ty documents hav eau (PCT Rule 17	e been received in this Na. (2(a)).	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			(coitocilane lennisiy
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov	risional application	has been received.	
Attachment(cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	phonty under 35	U.S.U. 99 120 and/or 121	l.
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) ∐ N	sterview Summary (PTO-413) P otice of Informal Patent Applica ther:	aper No(s) tion (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trac PTO-326 (Rev.	A - A-4	on Summary		Part of Paper No. 14

Art Unit: 1745

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 4-11, 13, 14, 20-28, 30-37 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Independent claims 1, 8, and 20 recite distributions of the sizes of particles of vanadium oxide used as cathode active materials. The nearest prior art of Koksbang or Olsen does not suggest specific particle size distributions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims 38-42 under 35 USC 112 second paragraph has been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendments to these claims.

Claims 15-19 and 38-42 are rejected under 35 USC 112 first paragraph for reasons of record. Applicants' specification, while being enabling for battery with cathodes having vanadium oxide or titanium oxide nanoparticles as an active material, does not reasonably provide enablement for batteries having nanoparticles of all cathode active materials, particularly metal oxides, which can intercalate lithium and have an energy density greater than 900 Wh/g. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Because of the wide differences in the chemistries of various metal oxides, one of ordinary skill in the art could not extrapolate applicants' teachings regarding forming nanoparticles of

vanadium oxides and/or titanium oxides to other compounds, or even other metal oxides.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 47-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as being anticipated by Koksbang, US Patent 5,549,880 for reasons of record.

Koksbang discloses secondary lithium batteries comprising a lithiated vanadium oxide cathode active material, a lithium metal anode, and either a polymer electrolyte separator or a solid electrolyte separator. (Note column 5, line 60-column 6, line 3 and column 6, lines 12-35.) The vanadium oxide particles are "in the form of a fine powder having a surprisingly small particle size on the order of 0.1 to 5 microns." (Note column 2, lines 58-61.)

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03 February 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regards to the 35 USC §112 first paragraph rejection, applicants' assert the instant specification provides one of ordinary skill in the art with "reasonable correlation" between the scope of enablement and scope of the claims. However, because of the differences in the chemistries and reactivities of different compounds, one of ordinary skill in the art could not reasonably expect applicants' teachings regarding vanadium oxides and titanium oxides to correlate with all other cathode intercalation compounds.

Art Unit: 1745

With regards to the anticipation of claims 47-52 by Koksbang, applicants assert vanadium particles "in the form of a fine powder having a surprisingly small particle size on the order of 0.1 to 5 microns and typically less than 10 microns" describes a specific distribution of particle sizes with an average particle size of about 2.5 microns. In contrast, the examiner interprets "... particle size on the order of 0.1 to 5 microns..." to describe a range of average particle sizes. Applicant argues the phrase "typically less than 10 microns" suggests the range language in Koksbang is a range of particle sizes and not a range of averages because interpreting the Koksbang range as a range of average particle sizes renders the phrase "typically less than 10 microns" superfluous. However, it would appear that even if the phrase "0.1 to 5 microns and typically less than 10 microns" describes a specific distribution of particle sizes with an average particle size of 2.5 microns, the statement "typically less than 10 microns" would also be superfluous. Thus, the fact that the phrase "typically less than 10 microns" is included in the Koksbang disclosure does not distinguish the two interpretations of the statement: "in the form of a fine powder having a surprisingly small particle size on the order of 0.1 to 5 microns and typically less than 10 microns".

Applicants further assert that Koksbang does not teach a range of average particle sizes because Koksbang does not disclose methods of varying process conditions to vary particle sizes. Applicant notes that Koksbang provides only one set of process conditions in the disclosed example and states that one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the Koksbang disclosure to include a disclosure of various process

Art Unit: 1745

conditions to select a specific particle size range. However, this argument is not convincing. Koksbang's invention is directed to forming a vanadium oxide by precipitation, and factors controlling particle size in precipitation reactions are well-known in the art, and thus would not be necessary to disclose. Furthermore, the Koksbang invention is not limited to the disclosed examples, and supposition based upon what has not been disclosed is not convincing.

Page 5

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol Chaney whose telephone number is (703) 305-3777. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

> Carol Chaney Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

Page 6

cc April 17, 2003